**German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI)** 





German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence

# Digital Reality: From Learned Models via Synthetic Data to Trusted-AI – and Back!

#### **Philipp Slusallek**

German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI) Saarland University, Computer Graphics Lab (UdS-CGL) NHR South-West (Method Labs: Compiler & AI)



Saarland Informatics Campus slusallek@dfki.de

## German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI)



#### • Overview

- Largest independent AI research center worldwide (founded in 1988)
- Germany's leading research center for innovative software technologies
- 6 main sites in Germany
  - Saarbrücken, Kaiserslautern, Bremen, Osnabrück/Oldenburg
  - Berlin, (Darmstadt) + sites in Lübeck & Trier
- 24 research areas, 9 competence centers, 8 demonstration centers (living labs)
- Almost 1400 research staff & support
- Revenues of >73 M€ in 2020 (58 M€ in 2019, 50 M€ in 2018)
- More than 100 spin-offs



## **Germany Has a Head-Start**

DFKI: The World's Largest Center for Research & Application in Al









## DFKI: Covers the Complete Innovation Cycle



Commercialization/ Exploitation





## **DFKI-ASR: Agents and Simulated Reality**



How to design AI systems that can provide guarantees and that humans can understand and trust?



How can synthetic data from parametric models and simulations be used for training, validating, and certifying AI systems?







#### Flexible Production Control Using Multiagent Systems at Saarstahl, Völklingen

DFKI multi-agent technology is running the steelworks, 24/7 for >12 years, 5 researchers transferred

#### Physically-Based Image Synthesis with Real-Time Ray Tracing

200

Key product offered now by all major HW vendors: e.g. Intel (Embree), Nvidia (OptiX), AMD (Radeon Rays), ...

公

 $\land$ 

5

#### **Custom Ray Tracing Processor [Siggraph'05]**



#### Efficient Simulation: Radiation Propagation and Sensor Models

VCM now part of most commercial renders: e.g. RenderMan, V-Ray, ...

#### Industrie 4.0 & Collaborative Robotics and Simulated Reality (VW, Airbus, ...)

## Autonomous Driving: Training using Synthetic Sensor Data and Realistic Models (TÜV, VDA, ZF, Conti, ...)

#### **State of Al**

- Many success stories
  - HW Verification, Knowledge Graphs, Search & Optimization, ...
  - Perception: Vision, Speech, ...
  - Game playing: Chess, Go, video games, ...
  - Some complex tasks: translation, autonomous driving, ...
- Amazing progress in recent years
  - Most visible due to Deep Neural Networks (DNNs)
  - Focus shifting to hybrid/neuro-symbolic/neuro-explicit approaches
- Still many fundamental challenges
  - With severe consequences to the practical use of AI











#### **AI: Structure and Terminology**









### **AI: Structure and Terminology**









### Challenges: Functionality vs. Robustness

• AI/DL is highly capable already ...



• ... but we often cannot guarantee even basic functionality















Al functionality is not enough – need ability to *certify* its capabilities – according to well-defined standards





#### Autonomous Systems: The Problem



- Our World is extremely complex
  - Geometry/Shape, Appearance, Motion, Weather, Environment, ...
- Systems must make accurate and reliable decisions
  - Especially in *Critical Situations*
  - Increasingly making use of (deep) machine learning
- Learning of critical situations is essentially impossible
  - Often little (good) data even for "normal" situations
  - Critical situations rarely happen in reality per definition!
  - Extremely high-dimensional models

#### → Goal: Scalable Learning from *synthetic* input data

Continuous benchmarking & validation ("Virtual Crash-Test")







#### • Training and Validation in Reality

- E.g. driving millions of miles to gather data
- Difficult, costly, and non-scalable







### **Digital Reality**

#### • Training and Validation in the Digital Reality

- Arbitrarily scalable (given the right platform)
- But: Where to get the models and the training data from?











































## Challenge: Better Models of the World (e.g. Pedestrians)

- Long history in motion research (>40 years)
  - E.g. Gunnar Johansson's Point Light Walkers (1974)
  - Significant interdisciplinary research (e.g. psychology)
- Humans can easily discriminate different styles
  - E.g. gender, age, weight, mood, ...
  - Based on minimal information
- Can we teach machines the same?
  - Detect if pedestrian will cross the street
  - Parameterized motion model & style transfer
  - Predictive models & physical limits





#### **Challenge: Pedestrian Motion**



- Characterizing Pedestrian Motion
  - Clear motion differences when crossing the street





Crossing



## Challenge: Better Simulation (e.g. Radar Rendering)



- Key differences to simulation of optics
  - Longer wavelength: Geometric optics (rays) not sufficient
  - Need for *some* wave optics
    - Interference of multi-path interactions (coherent radiation, GO/PO)
    - Need for polarization and phase information
    - Diffraction from rough surfaces and edges
  - Highly different goals
    - Optical: Focus on *diffuse* effects (+ some highlights, reflections, etc.)
    - Radar: Focus on *specular* transport only (i.e. caustic paths)
- Completely novel approach (beyond ray tracing)
  - Using latest Monte-Carlo techniques (BiDir, MIS, VCM, ...)
  - Using recent work on Path Guiding [Grittmann, Rath et al., Siggraph`19, `20, `22]
- Bringing together radar & latest research on Monte-Carlo simulation





#### Radar (Two-Way Ground Reflection): Existing Algorithms





#### Radar (Two-Way Ground Reflection): Using Modern Monte-Carlo Algorithms!





#### **Our Simulation**



#### **Ours** (Physical Optics + Monte Carlo)





#### **Commercial Software**

#### EM.Illumina (Physical Optics + Finite Elements)





- EM.Illumina is based on the same physical model (physical optics), but – like virtually all available simulators – uses Finite Elements instead of Monte Carlo
- This makes it a lot slower than our method (by a factor of 1,400) and produces results that are not as accurate



#### **Results from Academia**

Bidirectional Antenna Coupling (Taygur et al.)





- Bidirectional Antenna Coupling (Taygur et al.) is a state-of-the-art algorithm that find connections between RX and TX antenna by starting paths from both sides and connecting them in the middle
- Unfortunately, it makes asymptotic assumptions and is therefore also less accurate for smaller features





## **AnyDSL Key Feature: Partial Evaluation**



- Left: Normal program execution
- Right: Execution with program specialization (PE)
  - Full control by developer via annotations & compiler evaluation



#### **Rodent: Lighting Simulation Test Scenes**







A. Perard-Gayot, R. Membarth, R. Leissa, S. Hack, P. Slusallek, Rodent: Generating Renderers without Writing a Generator, **Siggraph 2019** 





- Cross-layer specialization (traversal + shading)
  - ~20% speedup vs. no specialization
- Optimal scheduling for each device
  - Megakernel vs. wavefront (of different sizes)

		11 010011)
Scene	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{WF}}$	$\mathrm{Embree}^{\mathrm{WF}}$
Living Room	9.77~(+23%)	7.94
Bathroom	6.65~(+13%)	5.90
Bedroom	7.55 (+ 4%)	7.24
Dining Room	7.08~(+~1%)	7.01
Kitchen	6.64~(+12%)	5.92
Staircase	4.86 (+ 8%)	4.48

CPU (Intel<sup>TM</sup> i7 6700K)







- Cross-layer specialization (traversal + shading)
  - ~20% speedup vs. no specialization
- Optimal scheduling for each device
  - Megakernel vs. wavefront (of different sizes)

	CPU (Intel <sup>TM</sup> i7 6700K)		GPU (NVIDIA <sup>TM</sup> Titan X)		
Scene	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{WF}}$	$\mathrm{Embree}^{\mathrm{WF}}$	Rodent <sup>MK</sup>	$OptiX^{MK}$	
Living Room	9.77~(+23%)	7.94	38.59~(+25%)	30.75	
Bathroom	6.65~(+13%)	5.90	27.06 (+31%)	20.64	
Bedroom	7.55 (+ 4%)	7.24	30.25~(+~9%)	27.72	
Dining Room	$7.08 \ (+ \ 1\%)$	7.01	30.07~(+~5%)	28.58	
Kitchen	6.64~(+12%)	5.92	22.73~(+~2%)	22.22	
Staircase	4.86 (+ 8%)	4.48	$20.00 \ (+18\%)$	16.89	







- Cross-layer specialization (traversal + shading)
  - ~20% speedup vs. no specialization
- Optimal scheduling for each device
  - Megakernel vs. wavefront (of different sizes)

	CPU (Intel <sup>TN</sup> )	$^{A}$ i7 6700K)	GPU (NVIDIA <sup>TM</sup> Titan X)			
Scene	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{WF}}$	$\mathrm{Embree}^{\mathrm{WF}}$	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{MK}}$	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{WF}}$	OptiX <sup>MK</sup>	
Living Room	9.77~(+23%)	7.94	38.59~(+25%)	43.52~(+42%)	30.75	
Bathroom	6.65~(+13%)	5.90	27.06 (+31%)	35.32 (+42%)	20.64	
Bedroom	7.55 (+ 4%)	7.24	$30.25 \ (+ \ 9\%)$	38.88~(+29%)	27.72	
Dining Room	$7.08 \ (+ \ 1\%)$	7.01	30.07~(+~5%)	40.37~(+29%)	28.58	
Kitchen	6.64~(+12%)	5.92	22.73 (+ 2%)	32.09~(+31%)	22.22	
Staircase	4.86 (+ 8%)	4.48	20.00~(+18%)	27.53~(+39%)	16.89	







- Cross-layer specialization (traversal + shading)
  - ~20% speedup vs. no specialization
- Optimal scheduling for each device
  - Megakernel vs. wavefront (of different sizes)

	CPU (Intel <sup>TM</sup> i7 6700K)		GPU (NVIDIA <sup>TM</sup> Titan X)			GPU (AMD <sup>TM</sup> R9 Nano)	
Scene	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{WF}}$	$\mathrm{Embree}^{\mathrm{WF}}$	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{MK}}$	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{WF}}$	OptiX <sup>MK</sup>	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{MK}}$	$\operatorname{Rodent}^{\operatorname{WF}}$
Living Room	9.77~(+23%)	7.94	38.59~(+25%)	43.52 (+42%)	30.75	24.87	35.11
Bathroom	6.65~(+13%)	5.90	27.06 (+31%)	35.32 (+42%)	20.64	14.95	27.31
Bedroom	$7.55 \ (+ \ 4\%)$	7.24	$30.25 \ (+ \ 9\%)$	38.88~(+29%)	27.72	19.25	32.90
Dining Room	$7.08 \ (+ \ 1\%)$	7.01	30.07~(+~5%)	40.37~(+29%)	28.58	16.22	30.83
Kitchen	6.64~(+12%)	5.92	22.73 (+ 2%)	32.09~(+31%)	22.22	16.68	28.13
Staircase	4.86 (+ 8%)	4.48	20.00 (+18%)	27.53 (+39%)	16.89	11.74	22.21



#### **Take-Aways**

- Hybrid / Neuro-Explicit Al
  - Bringing together simulation/HPC with AI
  - New challenges and trade-offs for HW/SW architectures
- Digital Reality as a Fundamental Tool in Al
  - Modeling, simulation, and learning even in complex environments
  - Learning and reasoning via continuous feedback loop (e.g. RL)
- Trusted AI: Providing Guarantees About AI Systems
  - Certification & Validation required to establish trust in AI systems
  - Needs significant HPC resources for simulations and AI
- Big Challenges Ahead
  - Many promising partial results already (e.g. car industry) but still many gaps
  - Tools for new HW/SW architectures (incl. compiler) are still largely missing
  - Requires closer collaboration of HPC & AI as well as industry & academia
- → Great future for HPC and AI working together: HW, SW, tools, and applications



tuman Centric A.





## Thank you very much for your attention !







